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Foreword

This European Standard EN 1993, Eurocode 3: Design of steel structures, has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC250 « Structural Eurocodes », the Secretariat of which is held by BSI. CEN/TC250 is responsible for all Structural Eurocodes.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a National Standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by November 2005, and conflicting National Standards shall be withdrawn at latest by March 2010.

This Eurocode supersedes ENV 1993-1-1.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the National Standard Organizations of the following countries are bound to implement these European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

Background to the Eurocode programme

In 1975, the Commission of the European Community decided on an action programme in the field of construction, based on article 95 of the Treaty. The objective of the programme was the elimination of technical obstacles to trade and the harmonization of technical specifications.

Within this action programme, the Commission took the initiative to establish a set of harmonized technical rules for the design of construction works which, in a first stage, would serve as an alternative to the national rules in force in the Member States and, ultimately, would replace them.

For fifteen years, the Commission, with the help of a Steering Committee with Representatives of Member States, conducted the development of the Eurocodes programme, which led to the first generation of European codes in the 1980s.

In 1989, the Commission and the Member States of the EU and EFTA decided, on the basis of an agreement between the Commission and CEN, to transfer the preparation and the publication of the Eurocodes to CEN through a series of Mandates, in order to provide them with a future status of European Standard (EN). This links de facto the Eurocodes with the provisions of all the Council’s Directives and/or Commission’s Decisions dealing with European standards (e.g. the Council Directive 89/106/EEC on construction products - CPD - and Council Directives 93/37/EEC, 92/50/EEC and 89/440/EEC on public works and services and equivalent EFTA Directives initiated in pursuit of setting up the internal market).

The Structural Eurocode programme comprises the following standards generally consisting of a number of Parts:

| EN 1990 | Eurocode 0: Basis of Structural Design |
| EN 1991 | Eurocode 1: Actions on structures     |
| EN 1992 | Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures |
| EN 1993 | Eurocode 3: Design of steel structures |
| EN 1994 | Eurocode 4: Design of composite steel and concrete structures |
| EN 1995 | Eurocode 5: Design of timber structures |
| EN 1996 | Eurocode 6: Design of masonry structures |
| EN 1997 | Eurocode 7: Geotechnical design       |
| EN 1998 | Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance |
| EN 1999 | Eurocode 9: Design of aluminium structures |

1 Agreement between the Commission of the European Communities and the European Committee for Standardisation (CEN) concerning the work on EUROCODES for the design of building and civil engineering works (BC/CEN/03/89).
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Eurocode standards recognize the responsibility of regulatory authorities in each Member State and have safeguarded their right to determine values related to regulatory safety matters at national level where these continue to vary from State to State.

**Status and field of application of Eurocodes**

The Member States of the EU and EFTA recognize that Eurocodes serve as reference documents for the following purposes:

- as a basis for specifying contracts for construction works and related engineering services;
- as a framework for drawing up harmonized technical specifications for construction products (ENs and ETAs)

The Eurocodes, as far as they concern the construction works themselves, have a direct relationship with the Interpretative Documents referred to in Article 12 of the CPD, although they are of a different nature from harmonized product standards. Therefore, technical aspects arising from the Eurocodes work need to be adequately considered by CEN Technical Committees and/or EOTA Working Groups working on product standards with a view to achieving full compatibility of these technical specifications with the Eurocodes.

The Eurocode standards provide common structural design rules for everyday use for the design of whole structures and component products of both a traditional and an innovative nature. Unusual forms of construction or design conditions are not specifically covered and additional expert consideration will be required by the designer in such cases.

**National Standards implementing Eurocodes**

The National Standards implementing Eurocodes will comprise the full text of the Eurocode (including any annexes), as published by CEN, which may be preceded by a National title page and National foreword, and may be followed by a National annex.

The National annex may only contain information on those parameters which are left open in the Eurocode for national choice, known as Nationally Determined Parameters, to be used for the design of buildings and civil engineering works to be constructed in the country concerned, i.e. :

- values and/or classes where alternatives are given in the Eurocode,
- values to be used where a symbol only is given in the Eurocode,
- country specific data (geographical, climatic, etc.), e.g. snow map,
- the procedure to be used where alternative procedures are given in the Eurocode.

It may contain
- decisions on the application of informative annexes,
- references to non-contradictory complementary information to assist the user to apply the Eurocode.

---

2 According to Art. 3.3 of the CPD, the essential requirements (ERs) shall be given concrete form in interpretative documents for the creation of the necessary links between the essential requirements and the mandates for harmonized ENs and ETAGs/ETAs.

3 According to Art. 12 of the CPD the interpretative documents shall:

a) give concrete form to the essential requirements by harmonizing the terminology and the technical bases and indicating classes or levels for each requirement where necessary;

b) indicate methods of correlating these classes or levels of requirement with the technical specifications, e.g. methods of calculation and of proof, technical rules for project design, etc.;

c) serve as a reference for the establishment of harmonized standards and guidelines for European technical approvals.

The Eurocodes, in fact, play a similar role in the field of the ER 1 and a part of ER 2.
Links between Eurocodes and harmonized technical specifications (ENs and ETAs) for products

There is a need for consistency between the harmonized technical specifications for construction products and the technical rules for works. Furthermore, all the information accompanying the CE Marking of the construction products, which refer to Eurocodes, should clearly mention which Nationally Determined Parameters have been taken into account.

National annex for EN 1993-1-10

This standard gives alternative procedures, values and recommendations with notes indicating where national choices may have to be made. The National Standard implementing EN 1993-1-10 should have a National Annex containing all Nationally Determined Parameters for the design of steel structures to be constructed in the relevant country.

National choice is allowed in EN 1993-1-10 through clauses:

- 2.2(5)
- 3.1(1)

\footnote{see Art.3.3 and Art.12 of the CPD, as well as clauses 4.2, 4.3.1, 4.3.2 and 5.2 of ID 1.}
1 General

1.1 Scope

(1) EN 1993-1-10 contains design guidance for the selection of steel for fracture toughness and for through thickness properties of welded elements where there is a significant risk of lamellar tearing during fabrication.

(2) Section 2 applies to steel grades S 235 to S 690. However section 3 applies to steel grades S 235 to S 460 only.

NOTE EN 1993-1-1 is restricted to steels S235 to S460.

(3) The rules and guidance given in section 2 and 3 assume that the construction will be executed in accordance with EN 1090.

1.2 Normative references

(1) This European Standard incorporates by dated and undated reference provisions from other publications. These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this European Standard only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies (including amendments).

NOTE The Eurocodes were published as European Prestandards. The following European Standards which are published or in preparation are cited in normative clauses:

EN 1090 Execution of steel structures
EN 1990 Basis of structural design
EN 1991 Actions on structures
EN 1998 Design provisions for earthquake resistance of structures
EN 10002 Tensile testing of metallic materials
EN 10025 Hot rolled products of structural steels
EN 10045-1 Metallic materials - Charpy impact test - Part 1: Test method

(2) text deleted

EN 10160 Ultrasonic testing of steel flat product of thickness equal or greater than 6 mm (reflection method)
EN 10164 Steel products with improved deformation properties perpendicular to the surface of the product - Technical delivery conditions
EN 10210-1 Hot finished structural hollow sections of non-alloy and fine grain structural steels – Part 1: Technical delivery requirements
EN 10219-1 Cold formed welded structural hollow sections of non-alloy and fine grain steels – Part 1: Technical delivery requirements

1.3 Terms and definitions

1.3.1

KV-value
The KV (Charpy V-Notch)-value is the impact energy \( \text{KV} \) in Joules \( [\text{J}] \) required to fracture a Charpy V-notch specimen at a given test temperature \( T \). Steel product standards generally specify that test specimens should not fail at an impact energy lower than 27J at a specified test temperature \( T \).

1.3.2 Transition region

The region of the toughness-temperature diagram showing the relationship \( \text{KV}(T) \) in which the material toughness decreases with the decrease in temperature and the failure mode changes from ductile to brittle. The temperature values \( T_{27J} \) required in the product standards are located in the lower part of this region.

1.3.3 Upper shelf region

The region of the toughness-temperature diagram in which steel elements exhibit elastic-plastic behaviour with ductile modes of failure irrespective of the presence of small flaws and welding discontinuities from fabrication.

\[ \text{KV}(T) \ [\text{J}] \]

\( T_{27J} \)

\( T \ [\text{°C}] \)

Figure 1.1: Relationship between impact energy and temperature

1.3.4 \( T_{27J} \)

Temperature at which a minimum energy \( \text{KV} \) will not be less than 27J in a Charpy V-notch impact test.

1.3.5 Z-value

The transverse reduction of area in a tensile test (see EN 10002) of the through-thickness ductility of a specimen, measured as a percentage.

1.3.6 \( K_{IC} \)-value

The plane strain fracture toughness for linear elastic behaviour measured in N/mm\(^{3/2}\).

NOTE The two internationally recognized alternative units for the stress intensity factor \( K \) are N/mm\(^{3/2}\) and MPa√m (ie MN/m\(^{3/2}\)) where 1 N/mm\(^{3/2}\) = 0.032 MPa√m.

1.3.7 Degree of cold forming

Permanent strain from cold forming measured as a percentage.
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1.4 Symbols

- $l_{EV}(T)$: impact energy in Joule [J] in a test at temperature $T$ with Charpy V notch specimen
- $K$: stress intensity factor
- $Z$: Z-quality [%]
- $T$: temperature [°C]
- $T_{Ed}$: reference temperature
- $\delta$: crack tip opening displacement (CTOD) in mm measured on a small specimen to establish its elastic plastic fracture toughness
- $J$: elastic plastic fracture toughness value (J-integral value) in N/mm determined as a line or surface integral that encloses the crack front from one crack surface to the other
- $K_{lc}$: plane strain fracture toughness for linear elastic behaviour measured in N/mm$^{3/2}$
- $\varepsilon_{cf}$: degree of cold forming (DCF) in percent
- $\sigma_{ct,Ed}$: stresses accompanying the reference temperature $T_{Ed}$

2 Selection of materials for fracture toughness

2.1 General

(1) The guidance given in section 2 should be used for the selection of material for new construction. It is not intended to cover the assessment of materials in service. The rules should be used to select a suitable grade of steel from the European Standards for steel products listed in EN 1993-1-1.

(2) The rules are applicable to tension elements, welded and fatigue stressed elements in which some portion of the stress cycle is tensile.

NOTE For elements not subject to tension, welding or fatigue the rules can be conservative. In such cases evaluation using fracture mechanics may be appropriate, see 2.4. Fracture toughness need not be specified for elements only in compression.

(3) The rules shall be applied to the properties of materials specified for the toughness quality in the relevant steel product standard. Material of a less onerous grade shall not be used even though test results show compliance with the specified grade.

2.2 Procedure

(1) The steel grade should be selected taking account of the following:

(i) steel material properties:
   - yield strength depending on the material thickness $f_y(t)$
   - toughness quality expressed in terms of $T_{273}$ or $T_{460}$

(ii) member characteristics:
   - member shape and detail
   - stress concentrations according to the details in EN 1993-1-9
   - element thickness ($t$)
   - appropriate assumptions for fabrication flaws (e.g. as through-thickness cracks or as semi-elliptical surface cracks)

(iii) design situations:
   - design value of lowest member temperature
   - maximum stresses from permanent and imposed actions derived from the design condition described in (4) below
- residual stress
- assumptions for crack growth from fatigue loading during an inspection interval (if relevant)
- strain rate \( \dot{\varepsilon} \) from accidental actions (if relevant)
- degree of cold forming \( (e_c) \) (if relevant)

(2) The permitted thickness of steel elements for fracture should be obtained from section 2.3 and Table 2.1.

(3) Alternative methods may be used to determine the toughness requirement as follows:
- fracture mechanics method:
  
  In this method the design value of the toughness requirement should not exceed the design value of the toughness property.
- Numerical evaluation:
  
  This may be carried out using one or more large-scale test specimens. To achieve realistic results, the models should be constructed and loaded in a similar way to the actual structure.

(4) The following design condition should be used:

(i) Actions should be appropriate to the following combination:

\[
E_{d} = E \left\{ A[T_{Ed}] \right. \right. + \left. \left. \sum G_{K} \right. \right. + \left. \left. \psi_{1} \right. \right. \left. \left. Q_{K1} \right. \right. + \left. \left. \psi_{2} \right. \right. \left. \left. \sum Q_{K2} \right. \right. \right. \}
\]

(2.1)

where the leading action \( A \) is the reference temperature \( T_{Ed} \) that influences the toughness of material of the member considered and might also lead to stress from restraint of movement. \( \sum G_{K} \) are the permanent actions, and \( \psi_{1} Q_{K1} \) is the frequent value of the variable load and \( \psi_{2} Q_{K2} \) are the quasi-permanent values of the accompanying variable loads, that govern the level of stresses on the material.

(ii) The combinations factor \( \psi_{1} \) and \( \psi_{2} \) should be in accordance with EN 1990.

(iii) The maximum applied stress \( \sigma_{Ed} \) should be the nominal stress at the location of the potential fracture initiation. \( \sigma_{Ed} \) should be calculated as for the serviceability limit state taking into account all combinations of permanent and variable actions as defined in the appropriate part of EN 1991.

*NOTE 1* The above combination is considered to be equivalent to an accidental combination, because of the assumption of simultaneous occurrence of lowest temperature, flaw size, location of flaw and material property.

*NOTE 2* \( \sigma_{Ed} \) may include stresses from restraint of movement from temperature change.

*NOTE 3* As the leading action is the reference temperature \( T_{Ed} \) the maximum applied stress \( \sigma_{Ed} \) generally will not exceed 75% of the yield strength.

(5) The reference temperature \( T_{Ed} \) at the potential fracture location should be determined using the following expression:

\[
T_{Ed} = T_{md} + \Delta T_{r} + \Delta T_{a} + \Delta T_{R} + \Delta T_{\dot{\varepsilon}} + \Delta T_{e_c}
\]

(2.2)

where \( T_{md} \) is the lowest air temperature with a specified return period, see EN 1991-1-5

\( \Delta T_{r} \) is an adjustment for radiation loss, see EN 1991-1-5

\( \Delta T_{a} \) is the adjustment for stress and yield strength of material, crack imperfection and member shape and dimensions, see 2.4(3)

\( \Delta T_{R} \) is a safety allowance, if required, to reflect different reliability levels for different applications

\( \Delta T_{\dot{\varepsilon}} \) is the adjustment for a strain rate other than the reference strain rate \( \dot{\varepsilon}_{n} \) (see equation 2.3)
\( \Delta T_{\text{cf}} \) is the adjustment for the degree of cold forming \( \varepsilon_{\text{cf}} \) (see equation 2.4)

**NOTE 1** The safety element \( \Delta T_R \) to adjust \( T_{\text{ref}} \) to other reliability requirements may be given in the National Annex. \( \Delta T_R = 0 \degree C \) is recommended, when using the tabulated values according to 2.3.

**NOTE 2** In preparing the tabulated values in 2.3 a standard curve has been used for the temperature shift \( \Delta T_t \) that envelopes the design values of the stress intensity factor function \( K \) from applied stresses \( \sigma_{\text{ref}} \) and residual stresses and includes the Wallin-Sanz-correlation between the stress intensity factor function \( K \) [K] and the temperature \( T \). A value of \( \Delta T_t = 0 \degree C \) may be assumed when using the tabulated values according to 2.3.

**NOTE 3** The National Annex may give maximum values of the range between \( T_{\text{ref}} \) and the test temperature and also the range of \( \sigma_{\text{ref}} \), to which the validity of values for permissible thicknesses in Table 2.1 may be restricted.

**NOTE 4** The application of Table 2.1 may be limited in the National Annex to use of up to S 460 steels.

(6) The reference stresses \( \sigma_{\text{ref}} \) should be determined using an elastic analysis taking into account secondary effects from deformations

### 2.3 Maximum permitted thickness values

#### 2.3.1 General

(1) Table 2.1 gives the maximum permissible element thickness appropriate to a steel grade, its toughness quality in terms of \( [K] \) or value \( [K] \), the reference stress level \( [\sigma_{\text{ref}}] \) and the reference temperature \( [T_{\text{ref}}] \).

(2) The tabulated values are based on the following assumptions:

- the values satisfy the reliability requirements of EN 1990 for the general quality of material
- a reference strain rate \( \dot{\varepsilon}_0 = 4 \times 10^{-5}/\text{sec} \) has been used. This covers the dynamic action effects for most transient and persistent design situations. For other strain rates \( \dot{\varepsilon} \) (e.g. for impact loads) the tabulated values may be used by reducing \( T_{\text{ref}} \) by deducting \( \Delta T_{\dot{\varepsilon}} \) given by

\[
\Delta T_{\dot{\varepsilon}} = - \frac{1440 - f_{\dot{\varepsilon}}(t)}{550} \times \left( \ln \left( \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0} \right) \right)^{1.5} \degree C
\]  

(2.3)

- non cold-formed material with \( \varepsilon_{\text{cf}} = 0\% \) has been assumed. To allow for cold forming of non-ageing steels, the tabulated values may be used by adjusting \( T_{\text{ref}} \) by deducting \( \Delta T_{\varepsilon_{\text{cf}}} \) where

\[
\Delta T_{\varepsilon_{\text{cf}}} = - 3 \times \varepsilon_{\text{cf}} \degree C
\]  

(2.4)

- the nominal notch toughness values in terms of \( T_{25/9} \) are based on the following product standards: EN 19025, \( [K] \) text deleted \( [K] \) EN 10210-1, EN 10219-1

For other values the following correlation has been used

\[
T_{40/9} = T_{25/9} + 10 \degree C
\]
\[
T_{30/9} = T_{25/9} + 0 \degree C
\]  

(2.5)

- for members subject to fatigue all detail categories for nominal stresses in EN 1993-1-9 are covered

**NOTE** Fatigue has been taken into account by applying a fatigue load to a member with an assumed initial flaw. The damage assumed is one quarter of the full fatigue damage obtained from EN 1993-1-9. This approach permits the evaluation of a minimum number of “safe periods” between in-service inspections when inspections should be specified for damage tolerance according to EN
The required number \([n]\) of in-service inspections is related to the partial factors \(\gamma_{Ff}\) and \(\gamma_{MF}\) applied in fatigue design according to EN 1993-1-9 by the expression

\[
n = \frac{4}{(\gamma_{Ff} \gamma_{MF})^m} - 1,
\]

where \(m = 5\) applies for long life structures such as bridges.

The “safe period” between in-service inspections may also cover the full design life of a structure.

### 2.3.2 Determination of maximum permissible values of element thickness

(1) Table 2.1 gives the maximum permissible values of element thickness in terms of three stress levels expressed as proportions of the nominal yield strength:

- a) \(\sigma_{Ed} = 0.75 \ f_y(t) \ [N/mm^2]\)
- b) \(\sigma_{Ed} = 0.50 \ f_y(t) \ [N/mm^2]\)
- c) \(\sigma_{Ed} = 0.25 \ f_y(t) \ [N/mm^2]\)

where \(f_y(t)\) may be determined either from

\[
f_y(t) = f_{y,\text{nom}} - 0.25 \frac{t}{t_0} \ [N/mm^2]
\]

where \(t\) is the thickness of the plate in mm

\(t_0 = 1\ mm\)

or taken as \(R_{e,eff}\)-values from the relevant steel standards.

The tabulated values are given in terms of a choice of seven reference temperatures: \(+10, 0, -10, -20, -30, -40\) and \(-50^\circ\text{C}\).
Table 2.1: Maximum permissible values of element thickness \( t \) in mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel grade</th>
<th>Sub-grade</th>
<th>Reference temperature ( T_{rd} ) (°C)</th>
<th>( t ) in mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at ( T )</td>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>( -10 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S235 JR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S275 JR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S355 JR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2 M.N.</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S420</td>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S460</td>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S690</td>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.N.</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 1: Linear interpolation can be used in applying Table 2.1. Most applications require \( \sigma_{El} \) values between \( \sigma_{ed} = 0.75 f_y(t) \) and \( \sigma_{ed} = 0.50 f_y(t) \). \( \sigma_{ed} = 0.25 f_y(t) \) is given for interpolation purposes. Extrapolations beyond the extreme values are not valid.

NOTE 2: For ordering products made of S 690 steels, the test temperature \( T_{Kv} \) should be given.

NOTE 3: Table 2.1 has been derived for the guaranteed \( K_{V} \) values in the direction of the rolling of the product.

2.4 Evaluation using fracture mechanics

(1) For numerical evaluation using fracture mechanics the toughness requirement and the design toughness property of the materials may be expressed in terms of CTOD values, J-integral values, \( K_{lc} \) values, or \( T_{Ed} \) values and comparison should be made using suitable fracture mechanics methods.

(2) The following condition for the reference temperature should be met:

\[ T_{Ed} \geq T_{rd} \]  

(2.7)

where \( T_{rd} \) is the temperature at which a safe level of fracture toughness can be relied upon under the conditions being evaluated.

(3) The potential failure mechanism should be modelled using a suitable flaw that reduces the net section of the material thus making it more susceptible to failure by fracture of the reduced section. The flaw should meet the following requirements:

- the location and the shape should be appropriate for the notch case considered. The fatigue classification tables in EN 1993-1-9 may be used for guidance on appropriate crack positions.
- for members not susceptible to fatigue the size of the flaw should be the maximum likely to have been left uncorrected in inspections carried out to EN 1090. The assumed flaw should be located at the position of the most adverse stress concentration.
for members susceptible to fatigue the size of the flaw should consist of an initial flaw grown by fatigue. The size of the initial crack should be chosen such that it represents the minimum value detectable by the inspection methods used in accordance with EN 1090. The crack growth from fatigue should be calculated with an appropriate fracture mechanics model using loads experienced during the design safe working life or an inspection interval (as relevant).

(4) If a structural detail cannot be allocated a specific detail category from EN 1993-1-9 or if more rigorous methods are used to obtain results which are more refined than those given in Table 2.1 then a specific verification should be carried out using actual fracture tests on large scale test specimens.

NOTE The numerical evaluation of the test results may be undertaken using the methodology given in Annex D of EN 1990.

3 Selection of materials for through-thickness properties

3.1 General

The choice of quality class should be selected from Table 3.1 depending on the consequences of lamellar tearing.

Table 3.1: Choice of quality class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Application of guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>All steel products and all thicknesses listed in European standards for all applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Certain steel products and thicknesses listed in European standards and/or certain listed applications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE The National Annex may choose the relevant class. The use of class 1 is recommended.

(2) Depending on the quality class selected from Table 3.1, either:
- through thickness properties for the steel material should be specified from EN 10164, or
- post fabrication inspection should be used to identify whether lamellar tearing has occurred.

(3) The following aspects should be considered in the selection of steel assemblies or connections to safeguard against lamellar tearing:
- the criticality of the location in terms of applied tensile stress and the degree of redundancy.
- the strain in the through-thickness direction in the element to which the connection is made. This strain arises from the shrinkage of the weld metal as it cools. It is greatly increased where free movement is restrained by other portions of the structure.
- the nature of the joint detail, in particular welded cruciform, tee and corner joints. For example, at the point shown in Figure 3.1, the horizontal plate might have poor ductility in the through-thickness direction. Lamellar tearing is most likely to arise if the strain in the connection acts through the thickness of the material, which occurs if the fusion face is roughly parallel to the surface of the material and the induced shrinkage strain is perpendicular to the direction of rolling of the material. The heavier the weld, the greater is the susceptibility.
- chemical properties of transversely stressed material. High sulfur levels in particular, even if significantly below normal steel product standard limits, can increase the lamellar tearing.
Figure 3.1: Lamellar tearing

(4) The susceptibility of the material should be determined by measuring the through-thickness ductility quality to EN 10164, which is expressed in terms of quality classes identified by Z-values.

NOTE 1 Lamellar tearing is a weld induced flaw in the material which generally becomes evident during ultrasonic inspection. The main risk of tearing is with cruciform, T- and corner joints and with full penetration welds.

NOTE 2 Guidance on the avoidance of lamellar tearing during welding is given in EN 1011-2.

3.2 Procedure

(1) Lamellar tearing may be neglected if the following condition is satisfied:

\[ Z_{Ed} \leq Z_{Rd} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

where \( Z_{Ed} \) is the required design Z-value resulting from the magnitude of strains from restrained metal shinkage under the weld beads.

\( Z_{Rd} \) is the available design Z-value for the material according to EN 10164, i.e. Z15, Z25 or Z35.

(2) The required design value \( Z_{Ed} \) may be determined using:

\[ Z_{Ed} = Z_n + Z_b + Z_c + Z_d + Z_p \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

in which \( Z_n, Z_b, Z_c, Z_d \) and \( Z_p \) are as given in Table 3.2.
### Table 3.2: Criteria affecting the target value of $Z_{Ed}$

| a) Weld depth relevant for straining from metal shrinkage | \( a_{\text{eff}} \leq 7 \text{mm} \) & \( a = 5 \text{ mm} \) & \( Z_a = 0 \) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( 7 < a_{\text{eff}} \leq 10 \text{mm} \)          & \( a = 7 \text{ mm} \) & \( Z_a = 3 \) |
| \( 10 < a_{\text{eff}} \leq 20 \text{mm} \)          & \( a = 14 \text{ mm} \) & \( Z_a = 6 \) |
| \( 20 < a_{\text{eff}} \leq 30 \text{mm} \)          & \( a = 21 \text{ mm} \) & \( Z_a = 9 \) |
| \( 30 < a_{\text{eff}} \leq 40 \text{mm} \)          & \( a = 28 \text{ mm} \) & \( Z_a = 12 \) |
| \( 40 < a_{\text{eff}} \leq 50 \text{mm} \)          & \( a = 35 \text{ mm} \) & \( Z_a = 15 \) |
| \( 50 < a_{\text{eff}} \leq \infty \)                & \( a > 35 \text{ mm} \) & \( Z_a = 15 \) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Shape and position of welds in T- and cruciform- and corner-connections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Z_b = -25 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_b = -10 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_b = -5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_b = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_b = 3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_b = 5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_b = 8 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Effect of material thickness ( s ) on restraint to shrinkage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s \leq 10 \text{mm} )                                     &amp; ( Z_c = 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10 &lt; s \leq 20 \text{mm} )                               &amp; ( Z_c = 4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 20 &lt; s \leq 30 \text{mm} )                               &amp; ( Z_c = 6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 30 &lt; s \leq 40 \text{mm} )                               &amp; ( Z_c = 8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 40 &lt; s \leq 50 \text{mm} )                               &amp; ( Z_c = 10 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 50 &lt; s \leq 60 \text{mm} )                               &amp; ( Z_c = 12 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 60 &lt; s \leq 70 \text{mm} )                               &amp; ( Z_c = 15 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 70 &lt; s )                                                   &amp; ( Z_c = 15 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d) Remote restraint of shrinkage after welding by other portions of the structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low restraint: Free shrinkage possible (e.g. T-joints) &amp; ( Z_d = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium restraint: Free shrinkage restricted (e.g. diaphragms in box girders) &amp; ( Z_d = 3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High restraint: Free shrinkage not possible (e.g. stringers in orthotropic deck plates) &amp; ( Z_d = 5 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>e) Influence of preheating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without preheating         &amp; ( Z_e = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preheating ( \geq 100 \degree \text{C} ) &amp; ( Z_e = -8 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* May be reduced by 50% for material stressed, in the through-thickness direction, by compression due to predominantly static loads.
(3) The appropriate $Z_{Ro}$-class according to EN 10164 may be obtained by applying a suitable classification.

**NOTE** For classification see EN 1993-1-1 and EN 1993-2 to EN 1993-6.